Analysing the language of drama in the light of *Sāhityadarpaņa*

Dr. Kumuda Prasad Acharya

Abstract

The language pays a pivotal role in communication. Without the understanding of the language the communication is worthless. As the Drama is a medium of communication to the masses, it is very significant to know the language(s) of the drama and also about the proper use of it by the actors on the stage. This paper highlights the arrangements of the language(s) by various characters/actors during performance on the stage according to Sanskrit drama. It derives the main theme from the sixth chapter of *Sāhityadarpaṇa* of Viśvanātha Kavirāja (a 14th Cent. Sanskrit rhetorician) and describes it accordingly.

Keywords: Language, Drama, Sāhityadarpaņa, Viśvanātha Kavirāja

1.0.Introduction

The Indian mind has been developed a vast range of ideas and inculcated them in the forms of gens for the society. The ancient Rsis visualised the various aspects of knowledge and inherited it in a wide range of time. All the genres which have a pivotal role in modern day society have its intra-ally relationship with ancient Indian knowledge system based on Sanskrit language. As it is a known fact that language is a medium to communicate one's emotions to another, it has a central role in socio-cultural activities of a society or a region or a country. Every creature has its own language like every region or country has its own. The communication can be many types such as verbal, literal, signal etc. In ancient time the drama was one of the chief cause of language communication. It not only provided immune to the language but also extended its role for socio-cultural socio-lingual ecosystem of our great land i.e. Bhāratavarṣa. Since the ancient time the dramas were performed in various parts of the globe in different occasions. They have composed in different languages and performed in different languages also. The *Nāţyaśāstra* of Bharatamuni is considered as the source of the Indian drama and scholars of Indian dramaturgy wholeheartedly follows the *Nātyaśāstra* as far as the performance on the stage is concerned. But Viśvanātha has gone one step ahead to discuss some critical aspects of *nāțya* arisen at his time. The Language, to be used by different characters, while performing the drama on the stage is one

among them. As we discuss about the language of drama, it is based on the opinion of Viśvanātha Kavirāja about the use of different languages by the characters of the drama while performance on the stage. He describes the language terminology in his work *Sāhityadarpaņa* or the Mirror of Literature/Composition.

2.0. About Viśvanātha Kavirāja

Viśvanātha Kavirāja is one of the latest rhetoricians of Sanskrit who has given a new aroma to the study of Sanskrit poetics. Viśvanātha Kavirāja is well known by the scholars by his theory of the soul of the poetry as *vākyam rasātmakam kāvyam* (a sentence with rasa can able to be called as *kāvya*). With this invocation he has given a fresh vive to the school of *Rasa* (*Rasasampradāya*). Viśvanātha born in 14th Century is the son of Candraśekhara Sarasvatī and grandson of Nārāyaṇa and also father of Anantadāsa. He is the moon in the *Kapiñjala* family of Odisha, which was highly regarded in Odisha at that time¹. He is also knower of 16 languages, which shows his linguistics view. His works include 1. *Sāhityadarpaṇa* (a rhetoric work), 2. *Candrakalānāţikā* (a *nāţikā*), 3. *Rāghavavilāsamahākāvya*, 4. *Pārvatīpariṇaya* (a *nāţikā*), 5. *Kuvalayāśvacarita* (a *Kāvya* in Prakrit), 6. *Praśastiratnāvali* (a *Karambhaka* in sixteen languages), 7. *Narasimhavijaya* (a *kāvya* in praise of king Narasimha), 8. *Kamsavadha* (a *kāvya*), 9. *Kāvyaprakāśadarpaṇa* (a commentary on *Kāvyaprakāśa* of Mammaṭa)². His *Sāhityadarpaṇa* has many commentaries³.

3.0.Types of Dramas in Indian Context

Before introducing the central idea of the language of drama, let's have a look on the dramas as described in Indian context (based on Sanskrit literatures). In Indian context generally we use to utter the word *Nāţaka* for drama. But the *nāţaka* is one of the varieties of the greater portion of *rūpaka*. According to Viśvanātha Kavirāja there are 10 *rūpakas* and 18 *uparūpakas*. The *rūpakas* are: 1. *Nāţaka*, 2. *Prakaraņa*, 3. *Bhāņa*, 4. *Vyāyoga*, 5. *Samavakāra*, 6. *Dima*, 7. *Īhāmṛga*, 8.

Theatre Street JournalVol.4, No.127 March 2020Peer Reviewed ISSN 2456-754XPage 71

¹ The Wikipedia refers that Viśvanātha ascended his literary heights during the reign of two successive Gaṅgavaṁśī rulers of the then Kaliṅga – King Narasiṁha Deva IV and King Niśaṅka Bhānudeva IV. In absence of availability of exact dates of his birth and date the periods of their rulers (i.e. 1378 AD- 1434 AD) is assumed to be the time of Viśvanātha. http://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viswanatha_Kaviraja

² New Catalogus Catalogorum Vol. XXX. p. 58.

³ For details of the commentaries, refer Acharya, Kumuda Prasad, (2015), *A Note on the Commentaries of Sāhityadarpaņa*, pp. 102-111, Dimensions of Indian Culture, ed. by D. Patra and B.B. Mohapatra, Divya Prakashani, Bhubaneswar, 2015.

Anka, 9. Vīthi, 10. Prahasana⁴. Similarly the 18 uparūpakas are 1. Nāţikā, 2. Troţaka, 3. Gosthī,
4. Saţţaka, 5. Nāţyarāsaka, 6. Prasthāna, 7. Ullāpya, 8. Kāvya, 9. Prenkhaņa, 10. Rāsaka, 11.
Samlāpaka, 12. Śrīgadita, 13. Śilpaka, 14. Vilāsika, 15. Durmallikā, 16. Prakaraņī, 17. Hallīśa,
18. Bhāņikā⁵.

4.0.Prescribed Languages for Drama

The Sanskrit poetics or *Alankāraśāstra* or *Sāhityaśāstra* defines rules for the *kāvyas* and the authors/composers are abiding by the rules while composing the *kāvyas* (both the *śravyakāvyas* and the *dṛśyakāvyas*). As far as the drama is concerned, it comes under the category of *dṛśyakāvya* (the *kāvya* which can be seen). In this context the *Sāhityadarpaņa* of Viśvanātha Kavirāja is very much essential to understand the dogma maintained in composition of Sanskrit dramas in ancient period. It is a known fact that India is a multi lingual and multi cultural country. Our unity is observed in diversity also. Keeping in view of the multi lingua-franca of India the composers of the ancient time incorporated the theory of multi lingualism in Sanskrit dramas.

The following table highlights the feature of the languages to be used by different characters in the Drama⁶.

⁴ nāṭakam-atha prakaraṇaṁ bhāṇa-vyāyoga-samavakāra-dimāḥ/

īhāmṛgānka-vīthyaḥ prahasanam iti rūpakāṇi daśa// Sāhityadarpaṇa- 6/3.

⁵ nātikā trotakam gosthī sattakam nātyarāsakam/ prasthānollāpya-kāvyāni prenkhaņam rāsakam tathā// sam lāpakam śrīgaditam śilpakam ca vilāsikā/ dur mallikā prakaranī hallīśo bhāniketi ca// astādaša prāhur uparūpakāni manīsinah/ vinā viśesam sarvesām laksma nātakavan matam// ibid. 6/4-6. ⁶ purusānām-nīcānām samskrtam syāt-krtātmanām// atroktā māgadhī bhāsā rājāntah-puracāriņām/ cetānām rājaputrānām śresthānām cārdha-māgadhī// prācyā vidūsakādīnām dhūrtānām syād-avantijā/ yodha-nāgarikādīnam dāksinātyā hi dīvatām// śabarāņām śakādīnām śābarīm sam-prayojayet/ bāhlika-bhāsodīcvānām drāvidī drāvidādisu// ābhireşu tathā-bhīrī cāņdālī pukkasādişu/ ābhirī śābarī cāpi kāsthapātropajīvisu// tatha-ivāngārakārādau paiśācī svāt-piśācavāk/ cetīnām-apy-anīcānām-api syāt-saurasenikā// bālānām sandakānām ca nīcagraha-vicārinām/ unmattānām-āturānām saiva syāt-samskrtam kvacit// aiśvaryena pramattasya dāridryopadrutasya ca/ bhikşu-valkadharādīnām prākrtam sam-prayojayet// samskrtam sam-prayoktavyam linginīsūttamāsu ca/

Sl.	Name of the	To be used by the character of
No	Language (1)	(2)
•		
1.	Sanskrit	Higher and middle class male characters ⁷
		Noble woman, hermitesses &c. and also according to some by a queen,
		a minister's daughter and a courtesan ⁸ .
		Occasionally- The following characters can use Sanskrit occasionally in
		order to set off their wit.
		A woman (in general), a female friend, a boy, a courtesan, a gambler, a
		nymph ⁹ .
2.	Saurasenī (a	Higher and middle class female characters ¹⁰
	variety of Prakrit)	Higher and middle class Female attendees, boys, eunuchs, astrologers,
		mad, diseased men (characters starting from boys to diseased men can
		sometime use Sanskrit) ¹¹
3.	Mahārāstrī	Higher and middle class female characters ¹²
		12
4.	Māgadhī	Those characters stays in the inner apartments (antahpura) of the King ¹³
5.	Ardhamāgadhī	Ceța, Prince, Business class ¹⁴
	-	
6.	Prācyā (language	Vidūşaka ¹⁵
	used in	
	Gaudadeśa)	
7.	Avantijā	Gamester (Dhūrta) ¹⁶
8.	Dākṣiṇātyā	Warriors, citizens, gamblers ¹⁷
	(Vaidarbhī)	
9.	Śābarī	Śabara and Śaka (actors performing acting of these characters) ¹⁸

devīmantrī-sutāveśyāsvapi kaiścit tathoditam//

yad deśyam nīcapātram tu tad deśyam tasya bhāsitam/

kāryatas cottamādīnām kāryo bhāsāviparyayaļ//

yoşit sakhībālavesyā-kitavāpsarasām tathā/

vaidagdhyār-tham pradātavyam samskrtam cāntarātarā// ibid. 6/158-169

- ⁷ *ibid*. 6/158
- ⁸ *ibid.* 6/167
- ⁹ ibid. 6/169
- ¹⁰ *ibid*. 6/159
- ¹¹ *ibid*. 6/164-65 ¹² *ibid*. 6/164-65
- ¹³ *ibid*. 6/160
- ¹⁴ *ibid*. 6/160

Theatre Street Journal Vol.4, No.1 Page 73 27 March 2020 Peer Reviewed ISSN 2456-754X

¹⁵ *ibid*. 6/161 ¹⁶ *ibid*. 6/161 ¹⁷ *ibid*. 6/161 ¹⁸ *ibid*. 6/162

10.	Bāhlika	People of northern parts ¹⁹
11.	Drāviḍa	People belong to Drāvida region ²⁰
12.	Ābhīrī	Cowherds (Ābhīra) ²¹
13.	Cāṇḍālī	Cāņdālas and others ²²
14.	Ābhīrī & Śābarī	Who work upon wood and leaves; also used by Charcoal-makers ²³
15.	Paiśācī	Piśācas ²⁴
16.	Prakrit	Mendicants, ascetics et. al. ²⁵
17.	Country language	Low person. The higher and middle class characters can change the language according to their usability 26 .

5.0.Conclusion

The column no. 2 of the above table indicates the characters who supposed to work in a drama according to the context and plot of the drama and the column no. 1 shows the language(s) to be spoken used by these characters while performing their performance on the stage. These very characteristics manifest the multi lingual diversity of ancient India. Each and every language used at that time had got equal opportunity and status with accordance to performance of dramas. There are many more texts on the aspects of drama and the Sāhityadarpaṇa is one among them. Some of the facets have been presented in this paper with a hope to crunch the thirst of the scholars of dramaturgy.

References:

Acharya, Kumuda Prasad, (2015), A Note on the Commentaries of Sāhityadarpaņa, pp. 102-111,
 Dimensions of Indian Culture, ed. by D. Patra and B.B. Mohapatra, Divya Prakashani,
 Bhubaneswar, 2015.

²⁵ *ibid*. 6/166

Theatre Street Journal Vol.4, No.127 March 2020Peer Reviewed ISSN 2456-754XPage 74

¹⁹ *ibid*. 6/162

²⁰ *ibid*. 6/162

²¹ *ibid*. 6/163

²² *ibid*. 6/163

²³ *ibid*. 6/163

²⁴ *ibid*. 6/164

²⁶ *ibid*. 6/168

Dash, Siniruddha, (ed.), New Catalogus Catalogorum Vol. XXX, University of Madras, Chennai, 2013.

Mitra, Pramada Dasa, (tr.), The Mirror of Composition, Baptist Mission Pres, Calcutta, 1875. Shastri, Saligrama, (ed), Sāhityadarpaņa of Viśvanāthakavirāja, Motilal Banarsidass, New Delhi 2004.

http://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viswanatha_Kaviraja

Author profile:

The author is Assistant Professor at the Department of Sanskrit in the Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh, Haryana and may be reached at kumudaacharya88@gmail.com